

Invicti vs Tenable Comparison

Guidance to Evaluate Tenable Web App Scanning

- Explore and validate capabilities: basic/limited functionality vs effective capability
- Clarify product capability vs operator responsibility: such as vulnerability verification
- Verify claims of scale AppSec teams must be able to:
 - o Obtain accurate results, fostering collaboration and trust across dev and security
 - o Issue verified vulns for remediation with clarity for dev to fix, not research

Comparison of Key Capabilities

Key Capability	Invicti Advantage	Tenable Web App Scanning Gaps
Accuracy & Coverage	~99.98% accuracy on vulnerabilities (1 in 5,000 false positive rate)	No stats published on accuracy
	Identify vulnerabilities related to REST, SOAP, and GraphQL APIs	Analyze REST APIs only
	Out-of-band vulnerability detection	Can't detect blind, asynchronous, or second-order vulnerabilities
	IAST: identifies vulnerable code location	No IAST capabilities
	SCA: covers open source risk	SCA available in the Tenable Cloud Security product
	Automated web asset discovery	Web asset discovery available in the Tenable Attack Surface Management product
Speed	Proof-based scanning verifies 94% of high severity vulnerabilities — removes need to manually check results	Slower time-to-remediation, as results have to be manually checked for false positives
	Faster remediation with IAST	No IAST capabilities
Automation	Integrations: CI/CD pipelines: Jenkins, TeamCity, Azure Pipelines, Circle CI, Bamboo, GitHub Actions, GitLab CI/CD Ticketing: Jira, Gitlab, Trello, Splunk ServiceNow, Azure Boards Communication: Slack, MS Teams WAF: AWS, F5, Imperva Vuln Mgmt: ServiceNow, Kenna More at: invicti.com/integrations	Integrations: • Ticketing: Splunk • Other: K2